EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



July 17, 1996

AMERICA DE LA CARRESTA DEL CARRESTA DE LA CARRESTA DEL CARRESTA DE LA CARRESTA DE RECEIVED JUL 1 8 1996

> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONIS COMMISSION DEFICE OF SECRETARY

EX PARTE

William F. Caton **Acting Secretary** Federal Communicat ons Commission Mail Stop 1170 1919 M Street, N.W Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Caton:

Re: WT Docket No. 95-157, Microwave Relocation

GINA HARRISON/AR

Today, Betsy Granger, Senior Attorney, Pacific Bell Mobile Services, and I met with David Furth, Chief, Michael Hamra, and Ibn Spicer, of the Commercial Wireless Division, Wireless 7 elecommunications Bureau, to discuss issues summarized in the attachment. Please nelude this material in the above-referenced docket.

We are submitting two copies of this notice in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Enclosure

cc:

D. Furth M. Hamra I. Spicer

and the second of the

Presentation of Pacific Bell Mobile Services Regarding the Selection of a Microwave Cost Sharing Clearinghouse, Docket WT 95-157

July 17, 1996

PCIA should be the sole clearinghouse designated by the FCC

• Multiple clearinghouses will raise costs since additional costs and overhead expenses will be distributed among the same group of participants.

Pacific Bell Mobile Services

PCIA should be the sole clearinghouse designated by the FCC

• Two different databases will have to coordinate the exchange of information making the process more complicated and increasing the possibility that the confidentiality of information will be compromised.

Pacific Bell Mobile Services

PCIA's plan provides the best opportunity for industry input.

- The Commission has stated, "We also believe that it is essential for the plan to be administered by the industry to the fullest extent possible."
- PCIA's plan provides for a separate Board of Directors elected by the entities that participate in cost sharing.

PCIA's plan provides the best opportunity for industry input.

• ITA's proposal does not address industry participation.

PCIA's fee structure is more reasonable and realistic.

- Under PCIA's plan no fee is due until a cost sharing transaction takes place.
- ITA requires a fee for each relocated link regardless of whether cost sharing occurs.
- ITA's estimates of the number of links that will be relocated in the first year is very high.

Conclusion

- The designation of one clearinghouse will make administration of the cost sharing program smoother and more efficient.
- PCIA is thoroughly familiar with the rules and has presented a well-constructed plan. It should be designated as the only clearinghouse for the cost sharing program.